

Anaphora Resolution in Formal Pragmatics

Class Bibliography [final version of 7/14/23]

- Abbott, Barbara (2010) *Reference*. Oxford University Press.
- Alloppenna, P. D, Magnuson, J.S. & Tanenhaus, M.K. (1998). Tracking the time course of spoken word recognition: evidence for continuous mapping models. *Journal of Memory and Language* 38, 419-439.
- Allport, A. (1989) Visual attention. In M.E. Posner (ed.) *Visual Attention*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp.631-682.
- Aloni, Maria (2001) *Quantification under Conceptual Covers*. Ph.D. dissertation, ILLC, Amsterdam.
- Amaral, Patricia Matos, E. Allyn Smith & Craige Roberts (2007) Review of Potts: *Conventional Implicature*. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 30:707-749.
- AnderBois, Scott, Adrian Brasoveanu & Robert Henderson (2015) At-issue Proposals and Appositive Impositions in Discourse. *Journal of Semantics* 32.1:93-138. doi: 10.1093/jos/fft014
- Asher, Nicholas & Lascarides, Alex (1994) Intentions and information in discourse. Paper presented at *32nd Annual Meeting of the Association of Computational Linguistics*, Las Cruces, NM.
- Asher, Nicholas & Lascarides, Alex (1998) Questions in dialogue. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 21:237-309.
- Asher, Nicholas & Alex Lascarides (2003) *Logics of Conversation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Atanassov, Dimka, Florian Schwarz & John C. Trueswell (2013) On the processing of “might”. *U.Penn Working Papers in Linguistics* 19.1:1-10.
- Bach, Emmon (1979) Control in Montague Grammar. *Linguistic Inquiry* 10.4:515-531.
- Balcetis, Emily & Rick Dale (2007) Conceptual set as a top-down constraint on visual object identification. *Perception* 36:5581-595.
- Barker, Chris (1993) A presuppositional account of proportional ambiguity. *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Semantics and Linguistic Theory*, Cornell University, 1-18.
- Bar-Hillel, Yehoshua (1971) *Pragmatics of Natural Language*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Barlew, Jefferson (2017) *The Semantics and Pragmatics of Perspectival Expressions in English and Bulu: The Case of Deictic Motion Verbs*. Ph.D. thesis, The Ohio State University.
- Barwise, Jon & Robin Cooper (1981) Generalized quantifiers and natural language. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 4:159–219.
- Beaver, David & Brady Clark (2008) *Sense and Sensitivity*. Blackwell.
- Blakemore, D., Carston, R. (1999) The pragmatics of *and*-conjunctions: The non-narrative cases. *UCL Working Papers in Linguistics* 11:1–20.
- Blakemore, D., Carston, R. (2005) The pragmatics of sentential coordination with *and*. *Lingua* 115:569–589.
- Bloom, Paul (2000) *How Children Learn the Meanings of Words*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

- Bott, L. & Noveck, I. (2004). Some utterances are underinformative: The onset and time course of scalar inferences. *Journal of Memory and Language* 51, 437-457.
- Bott, Lewis, Todd M. Bailey & Daniel Grodner (2012) Distinguishing speed from accuracy in scalar implicatures. *J. Memory and Language* 66:123-142.
<http://00t0holtgrav.iweb.bsu.edu/Lab/BottBaileyGrodner12.pdf>
- Brasoveanu, Adrian (2006) *Structured Nominal and Modal Reference*. Ph.D. dissertation, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ.
- Brasoveanu, Adrian (2007) Structured Discourse Reference to Individuals. *Proceedings of the 6th Discourse Anaphora and Anaphor Resolution Colloquium*. Lagos (Algarve), Portugal.
- Brasoveanu, Adrian (2008) Donkey Pluralities: plural information states versus nonatomic individuals. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 31:129–209.
- Bratman, Michael E. (1987) *Intentions, Plans, and Practical Reason*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Braun, David (2015) Indexicals. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy:
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/indexicals/>
- Breheny, Richard, Heather J. Ferguson & Napoleon Katsos (2012) Investigating the timecourse of accessing conversational implicatures during incremental sentence interpretation. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 28.4:443-467.
- Brown-Schmidt, S., E. Campana & M. K. Tanenhaus (2005) Real-time reference resolution by naïve participants. In J. C. Trueswell & M. K. Tanenhaus (eds.) *Approaches to Studying World-Situated Language Use*. MIT Press, 2005, pp.153-171.
- Brown-Schmidt, Susan & Tanenhaus, Michael K. (2008). Real-time investigation of referential domains in unscripted conversation: a targeted language game approach. *Cognitive Science* 32(4):643-684.
- Büring, Daniel (2003) On D-Trees, Beans, and B-Accents. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 6(5):511-545.
- Büring, Daniel (2005) *Binding Theory*. Cambridge University Press
 for a brief, clear introduction to Binding Theory and its interpretation, read: §1.1 – 1.3.1, pp.1-13, and §2.1 – 2.3.2, pp.25-38.
- Carlson, Greg (1977) *Reference to Kinds in English*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Carston, R., (1993) Conjunction, explanation and relevance. *Lingua* 90:27–48.
- Chambers, C.G., Tanenhaus, M.K & Magnuson, J.S. (2004). Action-based affordances and syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition*, 30, 687-696.
- Champollion, Lucas, Dylan Bumford & Robert Henderson (2019) Donkeys under discussion. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 12(1):1–50.
- Chao, Wynn (1983) Pseudo-Anaphora. Ms., University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Chemla, Emmanuel & Philippe Schlenker (2012) Incremental versus symmetric accounts of presupposition projection: an experimental approach. *Natural Language Semantics* 20, 177–226.
- Chierchia, Gennaro (1995) *Dynamics of Meaning: Anaphora, presupposition, and the meaning of grammar*. University of Chicago Press.
- Chierchia, Gennaro (2004) Scalar implicatures, polarity phenomena and the Syntax/Pragmatics Interface. In Adriana Belletti (ed.) *Structures and Beyond: The cartography of syntactic structures, Volume 3*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 39-103.

- Chierchia, Gennaro, Danny Fox & Benjamin Spector (2012) [Scalar implicature as a grammatical phenomenon](#). In Claudia Maienborn, Klaus von Stechow & Paul Portner (eds.) *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning*. Vol. 3. Mouton de Gruyter. 2297-2331.
- Chierchia, Gennaro & Sally McConnell-Ginet (1990) *Meaning and Grammar. An Introduction to Semantics*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Chomsky, Noam (1981) *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht. Foris Publications.
- Chung, Sandra, William A. Ladusaw & James McCloskey (1995) Sluicing and Logical Form. *Natural Language Semantics* 3.3:239-282.
- Clarke, A. D., M. Elsner & H. Rohde (2015) Giving good directions: order of mention reflects visual salience. *Frontiers in Psychology, Special issue on Models of Reference* 6(1793), 1-10.
- Clark, Herbert H. (1977) Bridging. In P.N. Johnson-Laird and P.C. Wason (Eds.) *Thinking: Readings in Cognitive Science*, Cambridge University Press, 411-420.
- Clifton Charles Jr., & Lyn Frazier (2017) Context effects in discourse: The Question Under Discussion. *Discourse Processes* 55(2): 105-112.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853X.2017.1330029>
- Cohen, L. Jonathan (1971) The logical particles of natural language. In Y. Bar-Hillel (ed.) *Pragmatics of Natural Language*. Dordrecht: Reidel, 50-68.
- Cohen, Philip R., Jerry Morgan & Martha E. Pollack (eds.) (1990) *Intentions in Communication*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Cooper, Robin (1979). The interpretation of pronouns. In F. Heny and H.Schnelle (Eds.), *Syntax and Semantics 10*. New York: Academic Press.
- Coppock, Elizabeth (2022) On definite descriptions: Can familiarity and uniqueness be distinguished? In Daniel Altshuler (ed.) *Linguistics Meets Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Coppock, Elizabeth & David Beaver (2015) Definiteness and determinacy. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 38(5):377-435. doi:10.1007/21098.
- Corbetta, Maurizio & Gordon L. Shulman (2002) Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 3:201-215. doi:10.1038/nrn755.
- Cumming, Samuel (2008) Variabilism. *Philosophical Review* 117.4:525-554
- Deal, Amy Rose (2020) *A Theory of Indexical Shift*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Degen, Judith & Michael K. Tanenhaus (2019) Constraint-based pragmatic reasoning. In Chris Cummins & Napoleon Katsos (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Experimental Semantics and Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198791768.013.8>
- deSwart, Henriëtte (1991) *Adverbs of Quantification: A Generalized Quantifier Approach*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Groningen, The Netherlands.
- Dever, Josh (2013) The revenge of the semantics-pragmatics distinction. *Philosophical Perspectives* 27:104-144.
- Dillon, Brian, Charles Clifton Jr., & Lyn Frazier (2014) Pushed aside: Parentheticals, memory and processing. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience* 29(4):483-498.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01690965.2013.866684>
- Dillon, Brian, Charles Clifton, Jr., Shayne Sloggett & Lyn Frazier (2017) Appositives and their aftermath: Interference depends on at-issue vs. not-at-issue status. *Journal of Memory and Language* 96:93-109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2017.04.008>

- Dotlačil, J. & Roelofsen, F. (2019) Dynamic inquisitive semantics: Anaphora and questions. In *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung* 23:365-382.
- Dowty, David, Robert Wall & Stanley Peters (1981) *Introduction to Montague Semantics*. Dordrecht, Reidel. Republished by Springer.
- Elbourne, Paul D. (2005) *Situations and Individuals*. MIT Press.
- Elbourne, Paul (2008) Demonstratives as individual concepts. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 31:409-466.
- Elbourne, Paul (2010) On bishop sentences. *Natural Language Semantics* 18(1):65-78.
- Elbourne, Paul (2010) The existence entailments of definite descriptions. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 33(1):1-10.
- Elbourne, Paul (2013) *Definite Descriptions*. Oxford University Press.
- Evans, Gareth (1977) Pronouns, quantifiers and relative clauses (I). *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 7:467-536. Reprinted in Mark Platts (ed.), *Reference, Truth and Reality: Essays on the Philosophy of Language*. Routledge and Kegan Paul, 255-317.
- Fecteau, Jillian H. & Douglas Munoz (2006) Saliency, relevance, and firing: a priority map for target selection. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* (review) 10.8:382-390.
- von Fintel, Kai (1994) *Restrictions on Quantifier Domains*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Fodor, Jerry A. (1983). *Modularity of Mind: An Essay on Faculty Psychology*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Folk, C. L., & Remington, R. (2006) Top-down modulation of preattentive processing: Testing the recovery account of contingent capture. *Visual Cognition* 14:445-465.
- Frank, Anette (1996) *Context Dependence in Modal Constructions*. PhD thesis, Universität Stuttgart.
- Gazdar, Gerald. 1979. *Pragmatics: Implicature, Presupposition and Logical Form*. New York: Academic Press.
- Geach, Peter (1962) *Reference and Generality: An examination of some medieval and modern theories*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Geach, P. T. (1967) Intentional identity. *Journal of Philosophy* 64:627-632.
- Geurts, Bart (2002) Donkey Business. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 25:129-156.
- Geurts, Bart (2005) Entertaining alternatives: Disjunctions as modals. *Natural Language Semantics* 13:383-410.
- Geurts, Bart & David Beaver (2011) Discourse Representation Theory. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Available on-line: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/discourse-representation-theory/>
- Ginzburg Jonathan (2012) *The Interactive Stance*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Grice, H.P. (1957) Meaning. *The Philosophical Review* 66. Reprinted in Grice 1989.
- Grice, H. Paul (1978) Further Notes on Logic and Conversation. In P. Cole, (ed), *Syntax and Semantics Vol. 9: Pragmatics*. Brill, pp.113-127. Reprinted in Grice (1989).
- Grice, H. Paul (1989) *Studies in the Way of Words*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Grodner, D., Klein, N. M., Carbary, K. M., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (2010). "some", and possibly all, scalar inferences are not delayed: evidence for immediate pragmatic enrichment. *Cognition* 116, 42 - 55.
- Groenendijk, J. & M. Stokhof (1990) Dynamic Montague grammar, in L. Kálmán & L. Pólos (eds.) *Papers from The Second Symposium on Logic and Language*. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.

- Grosz, Barbara J. (1977) *The Representation and Use of Focus in Dialogue Understanding*, Technical Report No. 151, Artificial Intelligence Center, SRI International, Menlo Park, CA.
- Hacquard, Valentine (2010) On the event relativity of modal auxiliaries. *Natural Language Semantics* 18:79-114.
- Harris, Jesse A. & Christopher Potts (2009) Perspective-shifting with appositives and expressives. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 32(6):523-552.
- Heim, Irene (1982) *The Semantics of Definite and Indefinite Noun Phrases*. Garland Publishing, NY, Outstanding Dissertations in Linguistics Series. Read Chapter 1.
- Heim, Irene (1983) On the projection problem for presuppositions. In the *Proceedings of WCCFL 2*, Stanford, CA, 114-125.
- Heim, Irene (1990) E-Type Pronouns and Donkey Anaphora. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 13:137-177.
- Heim, Irene (1992) Presupposition projection and the semantics of attitude verbs. *Journal of Semantics*, 9:183-221.
- Heim, Irene & Angelika Kratzer (1998) *Semantics in Generative Grammar*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hirsch, Aron & Martin Hackl. 2014. Incremental presupposition evaluation in disjunction. In J. Iyer and L. Kusmer (eds.), *Proceedings of NELS 44(1)*, 177–190. GLSA Publications.
- Huang, Yi Ting & Jesse Snedeker (2009) Online interpretation of scalar quantifiers: Insight into the semantics-pragmatics interface. *Cognitive Psychology* 58:376-415.
- Huang, Yi Ting & Jesse Snedeker (2011) Logic and conversation revisited: Evidence for a division between semantic and pragmatic content in real-time language comprehension. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 26(8):1161-1172.
- Isaard, Stephen (1975) Changing the context. In Edward L. Keenan (Ed.) *Formal Semantics of Natural Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 287-296.
- Ito, Kiwako & Shari R. Speer (2008) Anticipatory effects of intonation: Eye movements during instructed visual speech. *Journal of Memory and Language* 58:541-573.
- Ito, Kiwako & Shari R. Speer (2011) Semantically-independent but contextually-dependent interpretation of contrastive accent. In S. Frota et al. (eds.) *Prosodic Categories: Production, Perception and Comprehension, Studies in Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 82*, DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-0137-3_4, Springer Science & Business Media B.V.
- Jacobson, Pauline (2000) Paycheck pronouns, Bach-Peters sentences, and variable-free semantics. *Natural Language Semantics* 8(2):77–155.
- Jacobson, Pauline (2000) Paycheck pronouns, Bach-Peters sentences, and variable-free semantics. *Natural Language Semantics* 8(2), 77–155.
- Jasinskaja, Katja & Elena Karagjosova (2021) Rhetorical relations. In Daniel Gutzmann, Lisa Matthewson, Cécile Meier, Hotze Rullmann & Thomas Ede Zimmermann (eds.) *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Semantics*. Wiley.
- Kadmon, Nirit (2001) *Formal Pragmatics*. Blackwell.
- Kalomoiros, Alexandros & Florian Schwarz (to appear) Presupposition projection from ‘and’ and ‘or’: Experimental data and theoretical implications. To appear in *The Journal of Semantics*.
- Kamp, Hans (1981) A Theory of Truth and Semantic Representation. In Jeroen Groenendijk, Theo M. V. Janssen and Martin Stokhof (eds.) *Formal Methods in the Study of Language*, Vol. I, Mathematische Centrum, Amsterdam. Reprinted in Groenendijk, Janssen and Stokhof (eds.), 1984, *Truth, Interpretation and Information*, Foris, Dordrecht.

- Kamp, Hans, & Uwe Reyle (1993). From discourse to logic: Introduction to model theoretic semantics of natural language, formal logic and discourse representation theory. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Kanazawa, Makoto (1994) Weak vs. Strong Readings of Donkey Sentences and Monotonicity Inference in a Dynamic Setting. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 17:109-158.
- Kanazawa, Makoto (2001) Singular Donkey Pronouns are Semantically Singular. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 24:383-403.
- Kang, Young Eun Yoon (1994) *Weak and Strong Interpretations of Quantifiers and Definite NPs in English and Korean*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Texas, Austin.
- Kaplan, David (1977) Demonstratives, draft #2. Ms., UCLA Philosophy Department. Revised and published as "Demonstratives: An essay on the semantics, logic, metaphysics, and epistemology of demonstratives and other indexicals," in Joseph Almog, John Perry, and Howard Wettstein (Eds.) *Themes from Kaplan*, Oxford University Press, 1989, pp.481-563.
- Karttunen, Lauri (1969) Discourse referents. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Linguistics COLING*.
- Karttunen, Lauri (1973) Presuppositions of compound sentences. *Linguistic Inquiry* 4(2):1699-193.
- Karttunen, Lauri (1976) Discourse referents. In James D. McCawley (Ed.) *Syntax and Semantics, Volume 7: Notes from the Linguistic Underground*. Academic Press, New York, 363-385.
- Karttunen, Lauri & Stanley Peters (1979) Conventional implicature. In Choon-Kyu Oh and David Dinneen (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics* 11, 1–56. New York: Academic Press.
- Katsos, Napoleon, Richard Breheny & John Williams (2005) The interaction of structural and contextual constraints during the on-line generation of scalar inferences. In B. Bara, L. Barsalou & M. Bucciarelli (eds.) *Proceedings of the 27th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. 1108-13.
- Katsos, Napoleon & Chris Cummins (2010) Pragmatics: From theory to experiment and back again. *Language and Linguistics Compass Teaching and Learning Guide*, 3/1:1-7. Available at <http://crcummins.com/publications.html>
- Kehler, Andrew (2002) *Coherence, Reference, and the Theory of Grammar*. CSLI Publications, Stanford, CA.
- Kaufmann, Magdalena & Stefan Kaufmann (2012) Epistemic particles and performativity. *Proceedings of SALT* 22:208-225.
- Kehler, Andrew (2000) Coherence and the resolution of ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 23:533-575.
- Kehler, Andrew (2002) *Coherence, Reference, and the Theory of Grammar*. CSLI Publications, Stanford, CA.
- Kehler, Andrew (2009) Ellipsis and anaphora in a QUD model of discourse. Talk presented at the University of Michigan Workshop in Philosophy and Linguistics.
- Kehler, Andrew, Laura Kertz, Hannah Rohde & Jeffrey L. Elman (2008) Coherence and coreference revisited. *Journal of Semantics (Special Issue on Processing Meaning)* 25(1):1-44.
- Kehler, Andrew & Hannah Rohde (2017) Evaluating an Expectation-Driven QUD Model of Discourse Interpretation. *Discourse Processes* 54(3), 219-238.
- Kertz, Laura, Andrew Kehler & Jeffrey L. Elman (2006) Grammatical and coherence-based factors in pronoun interpretation. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, Vancouver, Canada.
- King, Jeffrey C. (2001) *Complex Demonstratives: A quantificational account*. MIT Press.

- King, Jeffrey C. & Karen S. Lewis (2021) Anaphora. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Available on-line at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/anaphora/>
This article gives an overview of contemporary literature on discourse anaphora, outlining some central puzzles and the main approaches
- Krifka, Manfred (1996) Parametrized sum individuals for plural reference and partitive quantification. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19:555–598.
- Lepore, Ernie & Matthew Stone (2015) *Imagination and Convention*. Oxford University Press.
- Lewis, David (1975) Adverbs of quantification. In E. L. Keenan (ed.) *Formal Semantics of Natural Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lewis, David (1979) Scorekeeping in a language game. In R. Bäuerle, U. Egli, and A. von Stechow (eds.) *Semantics from a Different Point of View*. Springer, Berlin.
- Lewis, Karen (2014) Do we need dynamic semantics? In A. Burgess and B. Sherman (eds.) *Metasemantics: new essays on the foundations of meaning*, Chapter 9, pp.231-258. Oxford University Press.
- Lewis, Karen (2017) Dynamic semantics. In *The Oxford Handbook of Topics in Philosophy*. Oxford University Press (on-line). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199935314.013.14>.
- Lewis, Karen S. (2022) Descriptions, pronouns and uniqueness. *Linguistics and Philosophy* (2022) 45:559–617. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10988-021-09325-y>
- Link, G. (1983) The logical analysis of plurals and mass terms: A lattice theoretical approach. In R. Bäuerle, C. Schwarze, & A. von Stechow (eds.) *Meaning, use, and interpretation of language* (pp.302–323). de Gruyter.
- Mack, A. (2003) Inattentional blindness: Looking without seeing. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 12(5):180-184.
- Mack, A. & I. Rock (1998) *Inattentional Blindness*, MIT Press.
- Maclaran, Rose (1982) *The Semantics and Pragmatics of the English Demonstratives*. Ph.D. dissertation, Cornell University.
- Maier, Emar (2010) Presupposing acquaintance: A unified semantics for de dicto, de re and de se belief reports. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 32(5):429-474. doi:10.1007/s10988-010-9065-2.
- Maier, Emir (2016) Attitudes and mental files in Discourse Representation Theory. *Review of Philosophy and Psychology* 7(2):473-490.
- Mandelkern, Matthew, Jérémy Zehr, Jacopo Romoli & Florian Schwarz (2020) We've discovered that projection across conjunction is asymmetric (and it is!). *Linguistics and Philosophy* 43:473-514.
- Marr, David (1982) *Vision: A computational investigation into the human representation and processing of visual information*. NY: Freeman.
- Martin, Scott (2016) Supplemental update. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3765/sp.9.5>.
- McCawley, James D. (1981) *Everything that Linguists have Always Wanted to Know about Logic*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Montague, Richard (1973) The proper treatment of quantification in ordinary English. In K.J.J. Hintikka, J.M.E. Moravcsik & P. Suppes (eds.) *Approaches to Natural Language*. Dordrecht: Reidel, 221-242.
- Moss, Sarah (2015) On the semantics and pragmatics of epistemic vocabulary. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 8.5:1-81.
- Muskens, Reinhart (1996) Combining Montague Semantics and Discourse Representation. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19:143-186.

- Neale, Stephen (1990) *Descriptions*. MIT Press (Bradford Books), Cambridge, MA.
- Neale, Stephen (2005) This, that and the other. In M. Reimer & A. Bezuidenhout (eds.) *Descriptions and Beyond*. Oxford University Press, 68-182.
- Newell, Allen (1990) *Unified Theories of Cognition*. Harvard University Press.
- Nouwen, Rick (2021) E-type pronouns: congressmen, sheep and paychecks. In Daniel Gutzmann, Lisa Matthewson, Cécile Meier, Hotze Rullmann & Thomas Ede Zimmermann (eds.) *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Semantics*. Wiley.
- Nunberg, Geoffrey D. (1993) Indexicality and deixis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 16:1-43.
- Partee, Barbara H. (1984) Nominal and temporal anaphora. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 7:243-286.
- Partee, Barbara H. (1989) Binding implicit variables in quantified context. In *Papers of the Chicago Linguistic Society* 25:342-365.
- Pelletier, J., and L. Schubert (1989) Generically speaking. In G. Chierchia, B. H. Partee and R. Turner (eds.) *Properties, Types and Meaning*, Volume 2, Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Polanyi, Livia (1985) A theory of discourse structure and discourse coherence. In P.D. Kroeber, W.H. Eilfort & K.L. Peterson (eds.) *Papers from the General Session at the 21st Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistics Society*.
- Politzer-Ahles, Stephen, Robert Fiorentino, Xiaoming Jiang & Xiaolin Zhou (2013) Distinct neural correlates for pragmatic and semantic meaning processing: An event-related potential investigation of scalar implicature processing using picture-sentence verification. *Brain Research*, 1490:134-152. Available at: <http://users.ox.ac.uk/~cpgl0080/papers.html>.
- Pollard, Carl & Ivan Sag (1992) Anaphors in English and the scope of binding theory. *Linguistic Inquiry* 23:261-303.
- Pollard, Carl & Ivan Sag (1994) *Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar*. University of Chicago Press.
- Portner, Paul (2004) The semantics of imperatives within a theory of clause types. In K. Watanabe & R. Young (eds.) *Proceedings of SALT 14*. CLC Publications.
- Portner, Paul (2007) Imperatives and modals. *Natural Language Semantics* 15:351-383.
- Portner, Paul (2018) *Mood*. In Oxford Surveys in Semantics and Pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Potts, Christopher (2005) *The Logic of Conventional Implicatures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Recanati, François (1996) Domains of discourse. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 19:445-75.
- Recanati, François (2004) *Literal meaning*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Recanati, François (2005) Descriptions and situations. In M. Reimer & A. Bezuidenhout (eds.)
- Reinhart, Tanya (1983) Coreference and bound anaphora: A restatement of the anaphora questions. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 6:47-88
- Recanati, François (2010) *Truth Conditional Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
- Roberts, Craige (1987) *Modal Subordination, Anaphora, and Distributivity*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts at Amherst. Revised and published as *Modal Subordination, Anaphora, and Distributivity*. Garland Press, Outstanding Dissertations in Linguistics Series, New York, 1991.
- Roberts, Craige (1989) Modal Subordination and Pronominal Anaphora in Discourse. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 12.6:683-721. Reprinted in Javier Gutierrez-Rexach (ed.) *Semantics: Critical concepts in linguistics*, Routledge, 2003.

- Roberts, Craige (1996) Anaphora in intensional contexts. In Shalom Lappin (ed.) *The Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory*, Basil Blackwell, pp.215-246.
- Roberts, Craige (2002) Demonstratives as definites. In Kees van Deemter and Roger Kibble (eds.) *Information Sharing: Reference and Presupposition in Language Generation and Interpretation*, CSLI Press, pp.89-196.
- Roberts, Craige (2003) Uniqueness in definite noun phrases. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 26:287-350.
- Roberts, Craige (2004) Context in dynamic interpretation. In Laurence Horn and Gregory Ward (eds.) *Handbook of Contemporary Pragmatic Theory*, Blackwell, 197-220.
- Roberts, Craige (2005) Pronouns as definites. In M. Reimer & A. Bezuidenhout (eds.) *Descriptions and Beyond*. Oxford University Press, 503-543.
- Roberts, Craige (2010) Names as definites. Ms., The Ohio State University and University of Michigan. Available here: <http://ling.osu.edu/~croberts/names.pdf>
- Roberts, Craige (2010b) Ellipsis and RETRIEVABILITY. Ms. of a talk given at the University of Chicago, March 4, 2010.
- Roberts, Craige (2011) *only*: A case study in projective meaning. In Partee, Glanzberg & Skilters (eds.) *Formal Semantics and Pragmatics: Discourse, Context, and Models. Baltic International Yearbook of Cognition, Logic and Communication: Vol. 6*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4148/biyclc.v6i0.1581>
- Roberts, Craige (2012a) Topics. In Claudia Maienborn, Klaus von Heusinger & Paul Portner (eds.) *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning*, volume 33.2. Mouton de Gruyter series Handbooks of Linguistics and Communication Science, 1908-1934.
- Roberts, Craige (2012b) Information Structure: Toward an integrated theory of formal pragmatics. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 5.6:1-69. (Invited as a classic unpublished paper.) <http://dx.doi.org/10.3765/sp.5.6>. Revision of Roberts (1996).
- Roberts, Craige (2013) Information Structure: Afterword, with bibliography of related work. *Semantics and Pragmatics* 5.7:1-19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3765/sp.5.7>.
- Roberts, Craige (2014) *Indexicality: The role of perspective in reference*. Ms., The Ohio State University and Central European University Budapest.
- Roberts, Craige (2016) Coherence, RELEVANCE, Saliency and Anaphora. Ms. of invited talk at the Workshop on Coherence and Anaphora, NASSLLI 2016, Rutgers.
- Roberts, Craige (2017a) Linguistic convention and the architecture of interpretation. *Analytic Philosophy* 58.4:418-439.
- Roberts, Craige (2017b) NYU short course on Indexicality: Lecture 1 (“Presuppositionally mediated reference and desiderata for a semantic theory of indexicality”) and 3 (“Point of View, Perspectival Expressions, and Centered Worlds”).
- Roberts, Craige (2017c) Short course on Anaphora in discourse, University of São Carlo, Brazil, September 2017, revised 2023. Lecture one: “The hallmarks of anaphoric expressions: The hallmarks of anaphoric expressions. Syntactic binding, semantic binding, coindexation”; Lecture two: “Donkey anaphora and dynamic interpretation.”
- Roberts, Craige (2018) Speech acts in discourse context. In Daniel Fogal, Daniel Harris & Matt Moss (eds.) *New Work on Speech Acts*. Oxford University Press.
- Roberts, Craige (2019) Contextual influences on reference. For B. Abbott & J. Gundel (eds.) *Oxford Handbook on Reference*. Oxford University Press.

- Roberts, Craige (2021) Modal Subordination: “It would eat you first!” In Daniel Gutzmann, Lisa Matthewson, Cécile Meier, Hotze Rullmann & Thomas Ede Zimmermann (eds.) *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Semantics*. Wiley. DOI: 10.1002/9781118788516.sem102
- Roberts, Craige (2022) The architecture of interpretation: Dynamic pragmatics and pragmatic competence. Ms. of the 2022 Beth Lecture, University of Amsterdam.
- Roberts, Craige (2023) The character of epistemic modality. Ms., OSU and NYU. E-version in *Linguistics and Philosophy* as of 2023. Preprint available at <https://www.asc.ohio-state.edu/roberts.21/papers-roberts.html>
- Roberts, Craige (2023b) Imperatives in a dynamic pragmatics. Submitted to S&P.
- Roberts, Craige (forthcoming) *Indexicality*. Ms., OSU. Ms. and lecture notes from NYU 2017 short course available at <http://www.ling.ohio-state.edu/~roberts.21/>.
- Roberts, Craige & Mandy Simons (2023) Preconditions and Projection: Explaining non-anaphoric presupposition. Submitted for publication.
- Rodman, Robert (1976) Scope phenomena, 'movement transformation,' and relative clauses. In B.H. Partee (ed.) *Montague Grammar*. New York: Academic Press.
- Rohde, Hannah & Michael C. Frank (2011) Markers of Discourse Structure in Child-Directed Speech. To appear in the proceedings of the 33rd annual conference of the cognitive science society, Boston, MA.
- Rohde, Hannah & Andrew Kehler (2008a) The bidirectional influence between coherence establishment and pronoun interpretation. Poster presented at the 21st Annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing, March, 2008.
- Rohde, Hannah & Andrew Kehler (2008b) Coherence-driven expectations in discourse and dialog. Poster presented at the 21st Annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing, March, 2008.
- Rohde, Hannah, Andrew Kehler & Jeffrey L. Elman (2006) Event structure and discourse coherence biases in pronoun interpretation. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, Vancouver, Canada, 697-702.
- Rohde, Hannah, Andrew Kehler & Jeffrey L. Elman (2007) Pronoun interpretation as a side effect of discourse coherence. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, Memphis, TN, 617-622.
- Ronai, Eszter & Ming Xiang (2020) Pragmatic inferences are QuD-sensitive. *Journal of Pragmatics* 54(4):841-870.
- Rooth, Mats E. (1992) A theory of Focus Interpretation. *Natural Language Semantics* 1:75-16.
- Ross, John R. (1967) *Constraints on Variables in Syntax*. Ph.D. Dissertation, MIT. Available online at <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/15166>.
- Rothschild, Daniel (2008) Presupposition projection and logical equivalence. *Philosophical Perspectives* 22. Philosophy of Language.
- Russell, Bertrand (1905) On denoting. *Mind*, 66:479-93.
- Sauerland, Ule (2004) Scalar implicatures in complex sentences. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 27(3):367-391.
- Saul, Jennifer M. (1997) Substitution and simple sentences. *Analysis* 57(2):102–108.
- Schlenker, Philippe (2013) Supplements without bidimensionality. Ms., CNRS and NYU.
- Schlenker, Philippe (2021) The semantics and pragmatics of appositives. In Daniel Gutzmann, Lisa Matthewson, Cécile Meier, Hotze Rullmann & Thomas Ede Zimmermann (eds.) *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Semantics*. Wiley. DOI: 10.1002/9781118788516.sem102

- Schneider, Werner X., Wolfgang Einhäuser & Gernot Horstmann (2013) Attentional selection in visual perception, memory and action: a quest for cross-domain integration. Introduction to a special issue on Attentional selection in visual perception, memory and action, in *Philosophical Transactions B* 368(1628). DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0053.
<http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/368/1628/20130053>
- Sedivy, Julie (2003) Pragmatic versus form-based accounts of referential contrast: Evidence for effects of informativity expectations. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 32.1:3-23.
- Sharvit, Yael (2023) Local presupposition accommodation and the symmetry of disjunction. Handout of a colloquium in the NYU Linguistics Department, April 21, 2023.
- Shimojo, Shinsuke, Michael Paradoso & Ichiro Fujita (2001) What visual perception tells us about mind and brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98(22):2001.
- Simon, Herbert A. (1981) *The Sciences of the Artificial*. Chapter 3: The Psychology of Thinking: Embedding Artifice in Nature. MIT Press.
- Simons, Daniel J. & Christopher F. Chabris (1999) Gorillas in our midst: Sustained inattentive blindness for dynamic events. *Perception* 28:1059-1074.
- Simons, Mandy (2010) A Gricean View on Intrusive Implicatures. In K. Petrus (ed.) *Meaning and Analysis: New Essays on Grice*. Macmillan.
- Simons, Mandy (2011) Dynamic Pragmatics, or Why We Shouldn't be Afraid of Embedded Implicature. In *Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT)*, Volume 21, pp.609-633.
- Simons, Mandy (2013) Local Pragmatics and Structure Contents. *Philosophical Studies* 168.1:21-33.
- Simons, Mandy & David Danks (2020) Coherence in the world model: The case for conceptual coherence. Ms., Carnegie Mellon University.
- Singh, Raj (2008). On the Interpretation of Disjunction: Asymmetric, Incremental and Eager for Inconsistency. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 31, pp.245-260.
- Skordos, Dimitrios & David Barber (2019) Language comprehension, inference, and alternatives. In Chris Cummins & Napoleon Katsos (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Experimental Semantics and Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198791768.013.1>
- Smeets, Liz & Luisa Meroni (2020) Stress or context for the computation of scalar implicatures. In Pedro Guijarro-Fuentes & Cristina Suárez-Gómez (eds.) *New Trends in Language Acquisition within the Generative Perspective*. Springer, 313-331.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1932-0_13
- Smith, Edward E. & Stephen M. Kosslyn (2006) *Cognitive Psychology: Mind and brain*. Pearson. See Chapter 2: Perception.
- Soames, Scott (1979) A projection problem for speaker presupposition. *Linguistic Inquiry* 10, 623-666.
- Soames, Scott (2002) *Beyond Rigidity: The unfinished semantic agenda of Naming and Necessity*. OUP.
- Soames, Scott (2006) Précis of Beyond Rigidity. *Philosophical Studies* 128:645-654.
- Sperber, Dan, & Deirdre Wilson (1986) *Relevance: Communication and cognition*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Stalnaker, Robert (1979) Assertion. *Syntax and Semantics* 9.
- Stalnaker, Robert (2014) *Context*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Stokke, Andreas & Anders J. Schoubye (2015) What is said? *Noûs* 50(4):759-793.

- Stojnic, Una (2021) *Context and Coherence: The logic and grammar of prominence*.
- Stone, Matthew (1997) *The anaphoric parallel between modality and tense*. IRCS TR 97-06. University of Pennsylvania.
- Strawson, P.F. (1950) On referring. *Mind* 59:320-344.
- Szabolcsi, Anna (2000) The syntax of scope. In Mark Baltin & Chris Collins (eds.) *Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory*. Oxford: Blackwell, pp.607-634.
- Tanenhaus, Michael K., Craig G. Chambers. & Joy E. Hanna (2004). Referential domains in spoken language comprehension: Using eye movements to bridge the product and action traditions. In J.M. Henderson & F. Ferreira (eds.), *The Interface of language, vision, and action: Eye movements and the visual world*. New York: Psychology Press, pp. 279-318.
- Thomason, Rich, Jerry R. Hobbs & Johanna Moore (1996) Communicative goals. In K. Jokinen, M. Maybury & I. Zukerman (eds.) *Proceedings of the ECAI 96 Workshop on Gaps and Bridges: New Directions in Planning and Natural Language Generation*. Springer-Verlag.
- Tian, Y., Breheny, R. & Ferguson, H.J. (2010) We Simulate Negated Information: A Dynamic Pragmatic Account. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*. 63(12), 2305-2312.
- Tonhauser, Judith, David Beaver, Craige Roberts & Mandy Simons (2013) Towards a taxonomy of projective content. *Language* 89.1:66-109. Awarded Best Paper in *Language* in 2013 by the Linguistic Society of America.
- Txurruka, I. G. (2003) The natural language conjunction *and*. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 26:255–285.
- Welker, Katherine (1994) *Plans in the Common Ground: Toward a generative account of implicature*. Ph.D. dissertation, The Ohio State University.
- Winograd, Terry (1972) *Understanding Natural Language*. Academic Press, New York.
- Yang, Xiao, Utako Miao & Robert Fiorentino (2018) Context-sensitivity and individual differences in the derivation of scalar implicature. *Frontiers of Psychology* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01720>.
- Yoon, Youngeun (1996) Total and Partial Predicates and the Weak and Strong Interpretations. *Natural Language Semantics* 4:217-236.
- Zimmermann, Thomas Ede (2000) Free choice disjunction and epistemic possibility. *Natural Language Semantics* 8:255-290.